

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/772,983	02/05/2004	Adeilton Jorge Martins	132654	5216
75	590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
John S. Beulio		JOHNSON, JONATHAN J		
Armstrong Teas Suite 2600	sdale LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolit	an Square	1725		
St. Louis, MO	63102	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			983	MARTINS ET AL.				
			er	Art Unit				
		Jonatha	n Johnson	1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF T FR 1.136(a). In no e in. eriod will apply and statute, cause the a	'HIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 February 2	006.					
•	·	This action is						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims				•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10 and 17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>8,11-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
<i>′</i> —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
-/.	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				Stage			
	application from the International Bu	•						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•		d.				
Attachmen	t(s)		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/772,983

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 11-14, 16, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,319,179 (Joecks). Joecks teaches rear wall, a front wall opposite said rear wall, a pair of opposed end walls, and a dividing wall defining a welding chamber therein, said welding chamber sized to receive a component being welded therein (figure 1, sections of item 1); a gas delivery system for supplying a protective gas into the SWET box and said enclosure (figure 1, item 5); and a lid coupled to the SWET box and extending over the heating chamber and said enclosure, encasing the heating chamber and said enclosure (figure 1, item 25); wherein said gas delivery system includes a diffuser positioned adjacent a floor of said welding chamber, said diffuser coupled to a protective gas source through said floor of said welding chamber (figure 1, item 3); wherein said diffuser comprises an array of perforated tubes (figure 1, network of conduits); a blade support positioned above said diffuser, said support including a perforated base in flow communication with said diffuser (figure 1, item 6); wherein said blade support is separated from said diffuser by a layer of mesh material (figure 1, item 3);

Application/Control Number: 10/772,983

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,319,179 (Joecks) as applied to claim 8 above and further in view of US 5,981,897 (Offer). Offer teaches a plurality of a plurality of diffuser cups from the top of the weld (figure 4, item 28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the gas delivery system of Joecks to utilize the particular diffuser arrangement of offer having the gas provided at the top of the container in order to ensure a strong weld joint (see Offer col. 1, ll. 20-50).

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,319,179 (Joecks) as applied to claim 16 above and further in view of US 5,981,897 (Offer). Offer teaches a plurality of a plurality of diffuser cups from the top of the weld (figure 4, item 28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the gas delivery system of Joecks to utilize the particular diffuser arrangement of offer having the gas provided at the top of the container in order to ensure a strong weld joint (see Offer col. 1, 11, 20-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/772,983

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues Joecks describes a container including a heat chamber that includes an enclosure defined therein that is not sized to receive a component being welded therein. The examiner disagrees. The examiner understands that, as with any other claim limitation, functional language is acceptable so long as it sets definite boundaries on the patent protection sought. In re Barr, 170 USPQ 33 (CCPA 1971). A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a <u>structural difference</u> between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In the instant case, it is the examiner's position that by welding the workpiece in the chamber, Joecks teaches the chamber is adequately sized to receive the component.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/772,983 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725